



SPECTA platform and downstream projects

A Non-Interventional International Translational Research Platform of the EORTC







Understanding SPECTA

- SPECTA is an infrastructure to reach patients with cancer, treated with standard of care
- It allows the collection of Human Biological Material,

together with the collection of **Patient clinical data** (e.g. patient's age, gender, tumor characteristics...) and **imaging data**





SPECTA - Infrastructure



- Facilitates Cancer patients recruitment
- Enables a rapid access to patient data and samples
- Set-up quality workflow for sample collection and molecular analysis



One authorization in 1553-SPECTA to recruit in SPECTA projects







Downstream Projects

SPECTA One protocol, one informed consent, many projects

Analysis	Conduct			Dev/Activ
SPECTA lung n=528 All thoracic malignancies Targeted NGS	IMMUcan N=3000 NSCLC, CRC, TNBC, HER-2 BC,	Arcagen N=1000	Bioradon N=975	MRD N=250 per cohort
SPECTAcolor n=835	HNSCC, RCC	Rare cancers	NSCLC	
Metastatic CRC AYA N=98	WES, RNAseq & IF, IMC	NGS panel (FMI)	Radon exposure	WES and regular blood MRD assay
HGG and sarcoma WES, RNAseq & Methylation array				



SPECTA - Current Operational Status





SPECTA countries

SPECTA authorized countries

SPECTA Activation process (*):

- 149 investigators from 19 countries are currently authorized to recruit
- 250 investigators are authorized or in the process of joining, from 180 centers and 21 countries

Recruitment (*)

- 83 recruiters from 67 different centers
- 2,500 patients recruited in 3 years



SPECTAlung



No PD

Adapted with other treatment

Unknown

Loco-regional PD

a) Recruitment per country b)





Lung cancer Thymic malignancy Mesothelioma



RP-1759 - AYA





Primary or Recurrence

Morfouace, et al., submitted





RP-1828 - IMMUcan





Molecular report (4-6 weeks)



Monthly Molecular Tumor Board

32 lung MTB2 H&N MTB1st breast MTB in October

SPECTA



RP-1843 - Arcagen

NGS panel analysis





Molecular report (4-6 weeks)



RP-1843 - Arcagen



Retrospective analysis

a)





c)

Actionable alterations in Arcagen and FMI cohorts









Lamarca, Morfouace, et al., Annals of Oncology, 2022

Morfouace, et al., ESMO Open, 2020





RP-1920 - BioRadon

When eligible



EORTC: BioRadon – Cohorts and clinical data collection

Patient cohorts

NSCLC patients, DRIVER GROUPS

- Group A: mutation group EGFR, BRAFV600E, MET, HER2 mutations

- Group B: fusion group ALK, ROS1, RET, NTRK1/2/3 fusions

NSCLC patients, CONTROL GROUP

- Group C: Control group No EGFR, BRAF600E mutation nor ALK or ROS1 fusion

N= 325 per group

Clinical data collection in SPECTA

Screening

- Demographic data: age, sex
- Medical history including exposure to cancerspecific risk factors (smoking, alcohol abuse, ...)
- Family history of cancer

Assessments around time of study entry

- WHO performance status
- Vital signs (height, weight, ...)
- Basic lab assessment, if available

Primary disease

- Date of diagnosis, topography, histology, common pathological information, prognostic factors, staging according to tumor type (based on AJCC latest recommendations)
- Locally performed tumor biomarker analysis results if available

Treatments and progression (follow-up every 3-6 months)

12





RP-1920 - BioRadon



EORTC: BioRadon – HBM and patient questionnaire



Patient questionnaire

ECORPTC European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer The future of cancer therapy

RP-2148 MRD





EORTC runs separately "Basket and Umbrella" studies

Interventional clinical trials







Current clinical research framework in EU





Key learnings-Learning the hard way....sometimes

- The EU regulatory framework is not necessarily optimal for complex trials
- The evolution of the regulatory framework needs a careful impact assessment (CTR, MRD, IVDR, GDPR), Research Use Only / Secondary use of HBM
- Strategy non-interventional vs interventional to be assessed
- Complex multi-arm interventional trials are not necessarily agile i.e. amendment process
- International translational and clinical research infrastructure are costly to maintain
- Quality Assurance programmes have a structuring effects on participating sites
- International multidisciplinary Molecular Tumor Board are critical to improve new knowledge uptake
- Need for pan European rare cancer screening programs as demonstrated by Arcagen (1,000 pts/3y)
- The capacity of these new programs in substantially changing practice needs longer term evaluation